

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **WESTERN DIVISION**

12 *In re: EXXONMOBIL OIL*
13 *CORPORATION, et al., SOUTHERN*
14 *CALIFORNIA BULK SALE*
15 *LITIGATION*

16 Case No. 12-CV-04689-PA (VBKx)
17 **JUDGMENT AS TO SPECIFIED**
18 **PLAINTIFFS**

19 Through an order dated January 31, 2013 (Dkt. No. 240), this Court granted
20 partial summary judgment in favor of defendants ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, Exxon
21 Mobil Corporation, and Circle K Stores Inc. (“Defendants”) and against plaintiffs
22 Efram Dori, Fujio Shoji, VC Chao Enterprises Group, VC Chao Retails Group, and
23 Alicia-Laguna, Inc. (collectively referred to herein as “Specified Plaintiffs”), finding
24 that the non-price terms and alleged waivers of rights (the “Non-Price Terms”) in the
25 June 2012 Revised Sale and Purchase Agreement Retail Marketing Assets Southern
26 California and Environmental Responsibility Agreement (the “Offer”) do not violate
27 Business & Professions Code section 20999.25.

1 Specified Plaintiffs and Defendants have stipulated that judgment shall be
 2 entered against Specified Plaintiffs and in favor of Defendants on each of Specified
 3 Plaintiffs' three claims for relief to the extent each such claim for relief is based upon
 4 the allegation that the Offer included unreasonable and/or illegal Non-Price Terms.

5 Pursuant to agreement of the parties, Specified Plaintiffs have voluntarily
 6 dismissed their remaining claims against Defendants in this action (that is, their claims
 7 that remain after entry of the stipulated judgment), and the Court has entered such
 8 dismissal.

9 Pursuant to agreement of the parties, Defendants have voluntarily dismissed
 10 their counter-claims for relief against Specified Plaintiffs, and the Court has entered
 11 such dismissal.

12 There are no remaining claims for relief or causes of action pending in this case
 13 with respect to Specified Plaintiffs.

14 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

15 1) Judgment is entered against Specified Plaintiffs and in favor of
 16 Defendants ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Exxon Mobil Corporation on Specified
 17 Plaintiffs' first claim for relief for violation of Business & Professions Code section
 18 20999.25, *et seq.* to the extent such claim is based on the allegation that the Offer
 19 included unreasonable and/or illegal Non-Price Terms; and

20 2) Judgment is entered against Specified Plaintiffs and in favor of
 21 Defendants ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, Exxon Mobil Corporation, and Circle K
 22 Stores Inc. on Specified Plaintiffs' second claim for relief for violation of Business &
 23 Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.* to the extent such claim is based on the
 24 allegation that the Offer included unreasonable and/or illegal Non-Price Terms; and

25 3) Judgment is entered against Specified Plaintiffs and in favor of
 26 Defendants ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, Exxon Mobil Corporation, and Circle K
 27 Stores Inc. on Specified Plaintiffs' third claim for relief for declaratory relief to the

1 extent such claim is based on the allegation that the Offer included unreasonable
2 and/or illegal Non-Price Terms;

3 4) Specified Plaintiffs shall recover nothing; and
4 5) Each party is to bear their own costs.

5
6 Dated: November 6, 2013
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Percy Anderson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE